# GENETIC DIVERSITY AND POPULATION STRUCTURE OF PLANTAGO MAJOR (PLANTAGINACEAE) IN IRAN

# M. Keivani, I. Mehregan & D. C. Albach

Received 2020. 05. 31; accepted for publication 2020. 08. 31

Keivani, M., Mehregan, I. & Albach, D. C. 2020. 12. 30: Genetic diversity and population structure of Iranian *Plantago major* (Plantaginaceae). -*Iran. J. Bot.* 26 (2): 111-122. Tehran.

Once only limited to Eurasia, the worldwide distributed *Plantago major* L. is now considered as invasive. Its significant distributional range in Iran requires molecular scientific efforts to reliably elucidate its genetic diversity and population structure. Therefore, analysis of genetic diversity of 17 different populations of *P. major* by ISSR markers was carried out and revealed the presence of a relatively high genetic diversity, in which, means of genetic diversity statistics for populations including number of different alleles (Na), number of effective alleles (Ne), Shannon's information index (I) and Nei gene diversity (H) were 1.12, 1.32, 0.271 and 0.184, respectively. Population of Damavand had the maximum Na (1.51) while population from Meshkinshahr indicated superior values for Ne (1.466), I (0.386), H (0.262), and UHe (0.291). Analyzing the genetic diversity distribution by AMOVA exhibited that a major portion of total genetic diversity is within-population (77%), and less among-populations. Populations genetic stratification showed a mixture of two groups with different dominance from northwest to the northeast of Iran. Assessing the genetic structure indicated existence of a relatively strong genetic structure among populations. Mating system, invasiveness characters and seed dispersal are suggested to be effective factors for a high rate of gene flow and simultaneous presence of genetic differentiation among populations of *P. major* in Iran.

Mahnaz Keivani and Iraj Mehregan (correspondence<imehregan@srbiau.ac.ir>), Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.- Dirk C. Albach, Institute for Biology and Environmental Sciences, Biodiversity and Evolution of Plants, Carl-von-Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Carl-von-Ossietzky Str. 9-11, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany.

Key words: Plantago; gene flow; molecular markers; population; genetic diversity; Iran

تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار جمعیتی بارهنگ .Plantago major L در ایران (پلانتاجیناسه) مهناز کیوانی: دانشجوی دکتری گروه زیستشناسی، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران ایرج مهرگان: دانشیار گروه زیستشناسی، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران دیرک کارل آلباخ: استاد گروه تنوع و تکامل گیاهی، مؤسسه علوم زیستی و محیط زیست، دانشگاه الدنبرگ، الدنبرگ، آلمان بارهنگ کبیر (Lago major L) که زمانی محدود به اوراسیا بود، امروزه گستردگی زیادی در دنیا دارد و به عنوان گیاهی مهاجم شناخته میشود. با توجه به دامنه پراکنش وسیع بارهنگ کبیر در ایران، تلاشهای علمی مولکولی در راستای روشن ساختن تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار جمعیتی میشود. با توجه به دامنه پراکنش وسیع بارهنگ کبیر در ایران، تلاشهای علمی مولکولی در راستای روشن ساختن تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار جمعیتی آن به شدت مورد نیاز است. بر همین اساس، بررسی تنوع ژنتیکی ۷۱ جمعیت مختلف P. major یا مارکرهای مختلف گرفت که سطح بالایی از تنوع ژنتیکی را آشکار نمود و میانگین پارامترهای تنوع ژنتیکی برای جمعیتها شامل تعداد آللهای مختلف (Na)، تعداد آللهای مؤتر (Na)، خریب شاخص شانون(I) و ضریب تنوع نی (H) به ترتیب ۲۱/۱، ۱/۲۲، ۲/۱۰) و ۲/۱۰ بودند. جمعیت دماوند از بیشترین مقدار Na راران) را دران از از تنوع ژنتیکی را (۱/۲۹۶)، از (۲/۲۶۰) را دارا دارا بود. بررسی توزیع تنوع ژنتیکی برای جمعیتها شامل تعداد آلل های مختلف (Na)، تعداد آللهای مؤتر (Na)، بر خوردار بود، در حالیکه جمعیت مشکین شهر مقادیر برتری را برای Na (۱/۲۶۹)، از (۲/۲۶۰)، و H (۲/۲۲)، را دارا بود. بررسی توزیع تنوع ژنتیکی را برای Na (۱/۲۶۰)، از (۲/۲۸)، را (۲/۲۶۰)، را دارا بود. بررسی توزیع تنوع ژنتیکی را برای (۲/۱/۱۰)، از (۲/۲۸)، و ۲/۱۰)، را دارا بود. بررسی توزیع تنوع ژنتیکی با AMOVA نشان داد که نسبت عمدهای از کل تنوع ژنتیکی بهصورت درون جمعیتی (۷۷٪) بوده و به مقدار کمتری بین جمعیتی (۱۹٪) و بین منطقهای (۴٪) میباشد. طبقهبندی ژنتیکی جمعیتی مخلوطی از دو گروه با غالبیت مختلف از شمالغربی تا شرق و جنوب ایران را نشان داد. تنوع در سیستم تولید مثل، ویژگیهای مهاجم بودن و پراکنش بذر بهعنوان فاکتورهای مؤثری برای نرخ بالای جریان ژنی و وجود همزمان نشانههایی از تمایز ژنتیکی بین جمعیتهای مختلف P. major بیشنهاد گر دیدهاند.

## INTRODUCTION

The genus Plantago L. is one of the cosmopolitan and largest genera in Plantaginaceae (Plantain) family (tribe Plantagineae). This mainly wind-pollinated genus is usually found in temperate or high-elevation of tropical regions (Dhar & al. 2006; Hassemer 2016, 2017; Hassemer & al. 2015; Rahn 1996; Rønsted & al. 2002; Shalabi & Abou-El-Enain 2013; Tay & al. 2010; Tutel & al. 2005). Plantago major L. (Ripple-seed plantain or common plantain) is a perennial species, native to Europe and Asia, with a wide distribution range especially because of anthropogenic activities. It reproduces by seeds and root fragments. The species produces a large number of seeds (up to 14000 per plant), which can stick to different vectors when wet and then spread (McLendon 1998; Royer & Dickinson 1999). Plantago major is described to have a height of 14-31 cm (rarely to 70 cm). Rosette leaves with parallel venation have an ovate to elliptical shape with an acute apex and a smooth margin. On the top of the stem, brownish-green flowers with purple stamens form a compact spike. Each capsule consists of 8-16 small ovate seeds. It is mainly native to Europe and Northern and Central Asia, but it is naturalized and can ubiquitously be found in world's flora (Mehrvarz Saeidi 1995a; Mozaffarian & al. 1996; Rahn 1996; Samuelsen 2000).

Different properties of *P. major* such as chemical and medicinal properties, invasiveness and diverse breeding systems (e.g. self-compatible, dichogamy, cosexuality, gynodioecy or dioecy, unisexual females or hermaphrodites) drew researchers attention (Rønsted & al. 2002; Rønsted & al. 2003; Rønsted & al. 2000; Van Dijk & Bakx-Schotman 1997; Wolfe & Burns 2001). In different parts of the world, *P. major*, similar to other *Plantago* species, is known for its pharmaceutical application (e.g., traditional medicine) (Samuelsen 2000; Weryszko-Chmielewska & al. 2012). It is used to treat some diseases such as infectious and skin diseases, reducing fever, pain relief, against tumor, and also problems associated with digestive organs, respiratory systems and etc. (Samuelsen 2000).

Morphological information have been the backbone of numerous comprehensive studies on geographical and ecological distribution ranges, evolution and conservation status of plant species (Kaplan 2001). However, by swift increase in the application of biotechnological tools to understand the phylogenetic relationship and evolutionary process, the reliability of phenotypic data has been questioned (Douaihy & al. 2012; Wiens 2004). Morphological variation does not necessarily parallel with genetic variation pattern as it has been reported before. For instance, Gáspár & al. (2019) observed high epigenetic diversity in *Plantago lanceolata* L. that partially had genetic basis. Similarly, Wolff (1991) reported markedly adaptive plasticity in populations of *Plantago coronopus* L., and *P. lanceolate*.

Accidentally or deliberately, plants and animals have been transported from one part of the world to another by humans for thousands of years. Most of these introductions failed, however, many managed to establish successfully form the alien species pool. Such alien species can change or threaten native biological diversity (Baillie & al. 2004) and cause economic and ecological modifications (Marbuah & al. 2014; Pimentel & al. 2002). Introduction of non-native species to the new ecosystem that causing harm to the economy, environment and human health are described as an invasive species (Vilà & al. 2011). All organizational levels of biodiversity, from genes to ecosystems, are affected by invasive species. Apart from conservation issues, introduction of invasive species is considered as an important factor for understanding the processes of evolution (Baker 1974; Rambuda & Johnson 2004).

Population genetic studies give us important data on population structure, genetic diversity and gene flow (Sheidai & al. 2012; Sheidai & al. 2013; Vaghefi & al. 2016). Such data can be helpful in expanding better management for plant species (Hou & Lou 2011). Different molecular markers have been used successfully as reliable indicators for genetic diversity, speciation, populations' divergence, genetic drift and migration (Albach & al. 2005; Bello & al. 2002; Cassel-Lundhagen & al. 2009; Kaswan & al. 2013; Kirk & Freeland 2011; Pampoulie & al. 2011; Saeed & al. 2011). One of these markers is ISSR (inter-simple sequence repeats) with well-known advantages and limitations (Agarwal & al. 2008; Ferreira & al. 2013; Primmer 2009); it is quick, easy to conduct, comparatively inexpensive (in comparison with other markers such as AFLP), requires no prior information on DNA sequences, and also only needs small amounts of DNA. In other words, such highly abundant polymorphic data can be widely used in genetic diversity analysis and populations' genetic structure (Azizi & al. 2014; Kaswan & al. 2013; Sheidai & al. 2012; Sheidai & al. 2013; Vaghefi & al. 2016; Wang & al. 2008).

To the best of our knowledge to data, so far, no significant attention has been paid to the understanding of the genetic diversity of *P. major* in Iran, or, they have not revealed considerable relevant genetic diversity data. The same applies to the adjacent regions (El-Bakatoushi 2011). We here aim to use ISSR markers to

elucidate population genetic diversity and structure and gene flow among different populations of *P. major* in Iran.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Plant material

Between July and August 2015, plants from seventeen populations of *Plantago major* were collected from north-east to the west of Iran. Flora Iranica and Flora of Iran were our sampling guidance (Janighorban 1995; Patzak & Rechinger 1965). At each locality, by regarding a minimum distance between individuals (20 m), five individuals of *P. major* were collected and leaves dried on silica-gel for molecular study. Voucher specimens are deposited in the Islamic Azad University Herbarium (IAUH) (table 1).

Table1. Locality and voucher number of the studied P. major populations.

Population s	Population Code	Locality	Longitude	Latitude	Altitude (m)	Voucher No.
Pop 1	MSH	Khorasan (RZ); Mashhad, 10 km from Torghabeh to Mashhad	36° 18' 33.49"	59° 21' 50.02"	1310	IAUH-15081
Pop 2	BOJ	Khorasan (N); Bojnord, 2 km from Bojnord to Esfarayen	37° 22' 4.34''	59° 21' 50.02"	1320	IAUH-15082
Pop 3	POS	Mazandaran; Pol-e-Sefid, Javarom Forest Park	36° 13' 37"	52° 55' 2"	390	IAUH-15083
Pop 4	FRZ	Tehran; Firoozkooh, 5 km from Namrood to Firoozkooh	36° 42' 50"	52° 55' 2"	1830	IAUH-15084
Pop 5	AML	Mazandaran; Amol, 20 km from Amol to Babol	36° 29' 30.76"	52° 30' 1.24"	10	IAUH-15085
Pop 6	DMV	Tehran; Damavand, Polour	35° 50' 47.88"	52° 2' 53.22"	420	IAUH-15086
Pop 7	DZN	Alborz; Dizin, Dizin ski resort	36° 2' 26''	51° 25' 38"	3040	IAUH-15087
Pop 8	THN	Tehran; Tehran, Darband	35° 49' 55.16"	51° 25' 33.36"	820	IAUH-15088
Pop 9	GSR	Alborz; Gachsar	35° 47' 43"	51° 40' 13"	2300	IAUH-15089
Pop 10	QZN	Qazvin; Qazvin, Alamoot, Evan lake	36° 28' 58.16"	50° 26' 44.62''	1830	IAUH-15090
Pop 11	MSL	Gilan; Masuleh, 10 km from Lar Cheshmeh to Masuleh	37° 9' 31.52"	49° 2' 50.21"	560	IAUH-15091
Pop 12	AKH	Ardebil; Khalkhal, 5 km from Asalem to Khalkhal	37° 41' 44.86"	48° 24' 25.97"	1540	IAUH-15092
Pop 13	КНН	Ardebil; Khalkhal, 5 km from Hashtjin to Khalkhal	37° 34' 11.63''	48° 36' 32.73"	1960	IAUH-15093
Pop 14	KHA	Ardebil; Khalkhal, Abgarm	37° 41' 44.86"	48° 24' 25.97"	1540	IAUH-15094
Pop 15	VFP	Ardebil; Meshkinshahr, Velayat forest park	38° 22' 9.41"	47° 40' 47.09"	1520	IAUH-15096
Pop 16	SFN	West Azarbayjan, Soofian, Soofian ski resort	38° 20' 79.67"	45° 47' 9.64"	1860	IAUH-15098
Pop 17	HMD	Hamedan; Hamedan, Ganjnameh	34° 44' 17.69"	48° 27' 35.15"	2040	IAUH-15099

	Рор	Ν	Na	Ne	Ι	He	UHe
	Pop 1	5	1.154	1.316	0.279	0.186	0.207
	Pop 2	5	1.096	1.349	0.272	0.190	0.211
	Pop 3	5	1.019	1.291	0.247	0.167	0.185
	Pop 4	5	1.058	1.292	0.245	0.166	0.185
	Pop 5	5	0.962	1.269	0.219	0.150	0.166
	Pop 6	5	1.519	1.406	0.351	0.235	0.261
	Pop 7	5	1.096	1.297	0.237	0.163	0.181
	Pop 8	5	1.058	1.358	0.275	0.192	0.213
	Pop 9	5	1.346	1.374	0.310	0.210	0.234
	Pop 10	5	1.231	1.275	0.254	0.167	0.185
	Pop 11	5	1.096	1.306	0.252	0.172	0.191
	Pop 12	5	1.231	1.326	0.262	0.180	0.200
	Pop 13	5	1.231	1.305	0.265	0.178	0.198
	Pop 14	5	1.231	1.316	0.262	0.177	0.197
	Pop 15	5	1.481	1.466	0.386	0.262	0.291
	Pop 16	5	0.154	1.292	0.234	0.162	0.180
	Pop 17	5	1.192	1.349	0.277	0.192	0.213

Table 2. Genetic diversity parameters in the studied *P. major* populations (population numbers 1–17 are according to Table 1).

Abbreviations: N= number of samples; Na= number of different alleles; Ne= number of effective alleles; I= Shannon information index; He= Nei gene diversity; UHe= unbiased Nei gene diversity.

#### DNA extraction and ISSR assay

Total genomic DNA was extracted from each silica gel dried leaf using mini plants kits (Zofagen, Germany) (Doyle & Doyle 1987). The quality of extracted DNA was checked spectrophotometrically. Eight labeled ISSR primers (CAA)<sub>5</sub>, (AGA GAG)<sub>2</sub>AGAGT, (ACA CAC)<sub>2</sub>ACACT, (CAC ACA)2GC, (GACA)4, (AGA GAG)2AGAGT, (ACA CAC)<sub>2</sub>ACACYT and (CAC ACA)<sub>2</sub>CACARG (Biolegio; Netherland) were used (Agarwal & al. 2015; El-Hady & al. 2010). PCR amplifications were performed in a 13 µl volume containing 6.5 µl master mix, 4.75 µl H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 µl DMSO, 0.5 µl primer and 0.75 µl genomic DNA. Amplification reactions were performed in a LabCycler Basic thermocycler (Sensoquest, Göttingen, Germany) with the following program: 5 min initial denaturation at 94 °C, 40 sec at 94 °C; 1 min for annealing which is different for our primers (37.8 °C, 48.1 °C, 47 °C and 42.1 °C) and 1 min at 72 °C. The final extension step (7 min at 72 °C) was completed the reaction. A 1% agarose gel was used to check the success of reaction. Estimation of fragment size was performed by a molecular ladder (100 bp) (Fermentas, Germany). For each sample, 6-Fam, NED, PET and VIC labeled products were mixed in equal amount. Then, 2 µl of this mixture mixed with 7.75 µl HiDi formamide and 0.25 µl internal size standard GeneScan ROX 500. Achieved mixture was used to run on an ABI 3730 capillary system.

Raw ISSR data were aligned using GeneMarker version 1.95 (GeneMarker, SoftGenetics, State College, Pennsylvania). Peaks (fragments) in the length from 50 to 500 bp were manually scored as 1 (present) or 0 (absent). Afterwards, each sample was checked for presence or absence of peaks with a signal intensity of more than 200. Three samples were studied to confirm peak accuracy.

#### **ISSR** data analyses

### Genetic diversity and population structure

Genetic diversity parameters were calculated in GenAlex 6.4 (Peakall & Smouse 2006) for each population as follows: Nei's gene diversity (He), Shannon information index (I) and number of effective alleles (Ne) (Rowe & al. 2017; Weising & al. 2005). AMOVA (analysis of molecular variance) test was performed for studying significant genetic difference among the populations and provinces (with 1000 permutations) (Podani 2000).

PAST ver. 3.8 (Hammer & al. 2001) was used to group individuals by Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) after 100 times bootstrapping/permutations (Huson & Bryant 2006; Rowe & al. 2017). In order to study the genetic structure of populations, Bayesian-based model implemented in STRUCTURE (ver. 2.3.4) (Pritchard & al. 2000) was used to project the most possible number of population genetic clusters (k), and ratio of individual assignment from the presumed populations to each of the inferred natural genetic clusters. A mixed model with correlated allele frequencies utilized to deduce the number of 'k' considering the existing information on the populations. Using a burn-in of 10,000 and a 50,000 replication set up for Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis was executed. For each 'K', ranging from 1 to 6, program ran 10 times. The Evanno method used to determine the actual number of 'K' (Evanno & al. 2005).

## RESULTS

### Population genetic diversity

The high number of reproducible bands from almost all ISSR primers in this survey allowed studying genetic diversity of the populations. Thus, genetic diversity parameters were determined for 17 geographical populations of P. major (table 2). The averages of parameters were: Na: 1.12, Ne: 1.32, I: 0.27, He: 0.18, and UHe, 0.20. The Damavand population presented the highest Na (1.51), while, population of Meshkinshahr indicated to have the highest values for the rest of the parameters including: Ne (1.466), I (0.386), He (0.262) and UHe (0.291). The lowest value for Na (0.154) was observed in population of Soofian. Moreover, the minimum values of Ne (1.269), I (0.219), H (0.150), and UHe (0.166) found to be in the Amol population. In case of the most determinative parameters, H values among populations did not varied considerably. In general, notable diversity among genetic diversity parameters did not observed which to some extent reflects the similarity in status of the populations.

Based on AMOVA, there is a small but significant molecular difference among the studied populations (PhiPT value = 0.231, P = 0.01) with 19% of the total

genetic variation occurring among the studied populations, while 77% occurred within these populations. These results demonstrate that genetic diversity within *P. major* populations is relatively high compared to among populations (fig. 1).

Clustering analysis based on neighbor joining (NJ) categorized the individual into two main cluster with relatively high geographical affiliation, which individuals mainly grouped together based on the population (fig. 2). The cluster I, composed of three sub-cluster mainly encompassed individuals from the northwest populations (Asalem to Khalkhal, Hashtjin to Khalkhal, Khalkhal, Meshkinshahr, and Soofian), whereas the cluster II contained two sub-clusters where the main sub-cluster grouped individuals form the northeast populations (Mashhad, Bojnord, and Pol-e-Sefid), individuals of the central Alborz populations (Damavand, Dizin and Qazvin) also grouped together. The second sub-cluster, majorly formed from individuals belong to populations of Firoozkooh, and Amol. Also, the members of Hamedan population grouped in this sub-cluster. Besides the presence of a proportionately accurate grouping of populations, several discrepancies among individuals were observed. Unlike NJ that exhibited genetic affinity of populations to a large degree, principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) did not delivered a pattern of structure and affinity of the population. An admixture of individuals with significant overlap was observed (fig. 3).



Fig. 1. The percentage of molecular variance among 17 populations of P. major.



Fig. 2. Cluster analysis based on neighbor joining method for *P. major* populations, investigated with ISSR markers. Abbreviations: Pop1, Mashhad; Pop2, Bojnord; Pop3, Pol-e-Sefid; Pop4, Firoozkooh; Pop5, Amol; Pop6, Damavand; Pop7, Dizin; Pop8, Darband; Pop9, Gachsar; Pop10, Qazvin; Pop11, Masuleh; Pop12, Asalem to Khalkhal; Pop13, Hashtjin to Khalkhal; Pop14, Khalkhal; Pop15, Meshkinshahr; Pop16, Soofian; Pop17, Hamedan.



Fig. 3. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) for 17 studied populations of *P. major*. Abbreviations: Pop1, Mashhad; Pop2, Bojnord; Pop3, Pol-e-Sefid; Pop4, Firoozkooh; Pop5, Amol; Pop6, Damavand; Pop7, Dizin; Pop8, Darband; Pop9, Gachsar; Pop10, Qazvin; Pop11, Masuleh; Pop12, Asalem to Khalkhal; Pop13, Hashtjin to Khalkhal; Pop14, Khalkhal; Pop15, Meshkinshahr; Pop16, Soofian; Pop17, Hamedan.



Fig. 4. The relation between genetic structure and collection sites of different populations of *P. major* in Iran.

#### 118 Genetic diversity of Plantago major in Iran

Based on the NJ tree, the plants of some populations can be roughly placed in a separate group close to their geographical origins; other inter-mixed plants showed shared alleles in the studied populations. The optimum number of k = 2 was achieved by the Evanno test run on the STRUCTURE analysis (Evanno, & al. 2005). The obtained k = 2 was also checked to confirm the true number of genetic clusters (Janes & al., 2017). Fig. 4 shows the distribution pattern of the studied populations based on shared alleles of STRUCTURE plot. The population divided into two genetic groups (k=2) with high genetic admixture among populations that roughly, populations close to east (Mashhad, Bojnord, Pol-e-Sefid, Firoozkooh, Amol) and those from north (Asalem to Khalkhal, Hashtjin to Khalkhal, Khalkhal) manifested higher genetic homogeneity, however, the entire populations showed the traces of the shared alleles. The clustering pattern of structure analysis and NJ were similar, since both methods to some extent were successful in assigning populations against their physical location and confirming the existence of genetic structure among populations of P. major.

## DISCUSSION

Plantago major L. has been documented from different localities of Iran with different climate. Thus, investigation of genetic diversity and its population genetic structure shall provide some basic information on its current state in Iran. For the survival of a species and its adaptation to different environmental conditions, genetic diversity plays an important role. In other words, a change in the genetic composition is necessary to cope with a changing environment (Mafakheri & al. 2020; Shalabi & Abou-El-Enain 2013; Sheidai & al. 2012; Wang & al. 2008). Genetic diversity parameters of our study displayed high within-population (77%) in the studied populations. Additionally, STRUCTURE and NJ analyses revealed a high level of connectivity among the studied populations from different localities, indicating high levels of gene flow either by seed or pollen among populations.

Various factors from ecological barriers, seed dispersal to mating system are determinative in shaping the level of genetic diversity and structure of populations of a given plant species (Pickup & al. 2019; Turchetto & al. 2016). The physical distribution of individuals of a species also affects genetic diversity. Wide distribution range of individuals can lower the possibility of forming a similar genetic make-up (Osawaru & al. 2015). However, the genetic similarity of different populations is also likely to be influenced by easy seed dispersal. The large number of seeds can easily stick to different vectors when wet and be transported over wide distances (McLendon 1998; Royer & Dickinson 1999). The easy dispersal of seeds is true for *P. major*, but as the physical distance between populations increases in this study, the genetic admixture of shared alleles declines.

The partitioning of genetic variation within and among populations can be considerably affected by mating system (Charlesworth 2003; Li & al. 2018). Reports of selfing and self-compatibility associated with low intra-population diversity are less often compared to high inter-population genetic diversity (Gáspár & al. 2019; Koelling & al. 2011; Lucardi & al. 2020; Osawaru & al. 2015; Zubair & al. 2012). Hale and Wolff (2003) found that the outcrossing P. lanceolata showed higher total and within-population variation, compared to the selfer species P. major, with lower within-population variation and higher betweenpopulation differentiation. More, considerably high polymorphic species of the genus Prunus often attributed to self-incompatible system. Since in such systems self-pollination is not possible or its rare and leads to generating genetically heterozygous progenies thus enhances genetic diversity (Balloux 2004; Stoeckel & al. 2006). Inbreeding mating system has a reducing effect on genetic diversity (Pijl 1969). We observed that 77% of the total genetic diversity was within-population. The possible causes of this inconsistency could be wind-pollination (which in selfpollinated species account for a degree of gene flow) and easy and high seed dispersal rate of P. major through livestock, water streams or anthropological means such as vehicles in semi-agricultural and wild areas where populations sampled that enhanced gene flow among populations (Pijl 1969). It should be noted that P. major has enormous adaptability to a wide range of climates, thus maintaining a genetic diversity despite of preventing factors is necessary. Moreover, human and animal-mediated seed dispersal can be a plausible argument in explaining high genetic diversity among populations of P. major given its markedly evolved seed characteristics. Also this standpoint backed by several previous reports who stated that human and animal-medicated seed dispersal are able to transfer seeds to long distances (Levin & Wilson 1976; Stebbins 1974). The level of genetic variation of three selfpollinating species, Hordeum juhutum L., Phlox cuspidata Scheele. and Solanum johnstonii Whalen found to be comparably high, majorly because of animal-mediated seed dispersal that allowed a variable and long-distance dispersal (Bullock & Clarke 2000; Hamrick & Loveless 1986). The values of gene flow for the species were notably high most likely because of gene transfer from one population to another via animal or human-mediated seed dispersal that elevated the gene flow and consequently the level of genetic diversity beyond the expected genetic variation within

self-pollinated populations (Brown 1979).

Mating system as mentioned above is an influential factor on genetic diversity and structure. Earlier studies have shown mating methods vary greatly among species of Plantago, so as the outbreeding rate. For instance, self-incompatible species such as Plantago lanceolate L. has an outbreeding rate equal to 1, or in the case of self-compatible ones, P. coronopus and P. major, previous studies have unveiled the outbreeding rate for the former species to be average (0.5-0.9) while for the latter species the rate was 0-0.1. The outbreeding rate is directly affected by mode of pollination as outbreeding rate was minimum in P. major as it's a completely selfing species but P. coronopus benefits from a comparable mating system (Van Dijk & al. 1988; Wolff & al. 1988). Later, Van Dijk & al. (1988) studied the influence of mating system on genetic structure of the above species, where they found high selfing of P. major has conferred a high level of population differentiation, whereas P. lanceolate (highly outbreeding, self-incompatible) had the lowest, and P. coronopus was placed in an average position, corresponding to their mating system (Brown 1979). Zubair & al. (2012) in result of a study on five populations of P. major in Sweden observed a clear genetic structure with high geographical affinity. The previous studies potently support the pattern of genetic structure as we observed among populations of P. major, in which a rather strong structure exists. Further, high self-compatibility accompanied by high inbreeding in P. major, may have initiated possible population differentiation as evidence generated from this study suggests. Comparative investigation on contrasting population in terms of mating system of species Leavenworthia alabamica L. and L. crassa L. by Koelling & al. (2011) revealed a low genetic diversity among self-compatible populations of former species as compared to self-incompatible ones (H; 0.065 vs 0.206). The same applies to the latter species (self-compatible vs self-incompatible: 0.084 vs 0.189). In consistent with the population structure of our study, self-compatible populations of both species in the study exhibited strong and highly homogenous genetic structure due to low possibility of sharing alleles between populations.

Based on the distribution map of genetic structure of different populations of *P. major* in Iran, a gradient is visible with the red group being dominant in populations from the northwest and the green group in northeast part of its range. It seems that a collective effect of several factors has caused the formation of such exceptional genetic structure almost inconsistent with the mating system of the plant. A strong structure may display two different subspecies.

## **CONCLUSION**

The wide distribution range of *P. major* in Iran and adaptability to various ecological conditions, and easy seed dispersal seem to be responsible for high intrapopulation diversity despite significantly low outcrossing rate due to being self-fertilizer and selfcompatible which the mating system is possible responsible for strong structure among populations. Genetic structure among populations of P. major reveals a relatively high level of genetic differentiation as populations gain homogeneity as the physical distance between populations of the northeast from the southwest increased. To understand the reason behind high intrapopulation diversity and the nature of the gradient across Iran, further studies on the breeding system and morphological differentiation of the species are required.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Prof. Ziba Jamzad and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Agarwal, M., Shrivastava, N. & Padh, H. 2008: Advances in molecular marker techniques and their applications in plant sciences. -Plant Cell Reports 27: 617-631.
- Agarwal, T., Gupta, A. K., Patel, A. K. & Shekhawat, N. S. 2015: Micropropagation and validation of genetic homogeneity of Alhagi maurorum using SCoT, ISSR and RAPD markers. -Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC) 120: 313-323.
- Albach, D., Meudt, H. & Oxelman, B. 2005: Piecing together the "new" Plantaginaceae. -American Journal of Botany 92: 297-315.
- Azizi, N., Sheidai, M., Mozafarian, V. & Noormohammadi, Z. 2014: Genetic, cytogenetic and morphological diversity in Helicrysum leucocephalum (Asteraceae) populations. -Biologia 69: 566-573.
- Baillie, J.E.M., Hilton-Taylor, C. & Stuart, S.N., 2004: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: A Global Species Assessment. IUCN Gland. -Switzerland and Cambridge.
- Baker, H. G. 1974: The Evolution of Weeds. -Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 5: 1-24.
- Balloux, F. 2004: Heterozygote excess in small populations and the heterozygote-excess effective population size. -Evolution 58: 1891-1900.
- Bello, M., Chase, M., Olmstead, R., Rønsted, N. & Albach, D. 2002: The páramo endemic Aragoa is the sister genus of Plantago (Plantaginaceae; Lamiales): evidence from plastid rbcL and nuclear

120 Genetic diversity of Plantago major in Iran

ribosomal ITS sequence data. -Kew Bulletin 57 (3): 585-597.

- Brown, A. H. D. 1979: Enzyme polymorphism in plant populations. -Theoretical Population Biology 15: 1-42.
- Bullock, J. & Clarke, R. 2000: Long distance seed dispersal by wind: measuring and modelling the tail of the curve. -Oecologia 124: 506-521.
- Cassel-Lundhagen, A., Tammaru, T., Windig, J., Ryrholm, N. & Nylin, S. 2009: Are peripheral populations special? Congruent patterns in two butterfly species. -Ecography 32: 591-600.
- Charlesworth, D. 2003: Effects of inbreeding on the genetic diversity of populations. - Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 358 (1434): 1051-1070.
- Dhar, M. K., Friebe, B., Kaul, S. & Gill, B. S. 2006: Characterization and physical mapping of ribosomal RNA gene families in Plantago. *-Annals of Botany* 97: 541-548.
- Douaihy, B., Sobierajska, K., Jasińska, A. K., Boratyńska, K., Ok, T., Romo, A., Machon, N., Didukh, Y., Bou Dagher-Kharrat, M. & Boratyński, A. 2012: Morphological versus molecular markers to describe variability in Juniperus excelsa subsp. excelsa (Cupressaceae). -AoB PLANTS 2012: pls013. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/pls013
- Doyle, J. J. & Doyle, J. L. 1987: A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. -The Phytochemical Section of the Botanical Society of America 19: 11-15.
- El-Bakatoushi, R. 2011: Introgressive hybridization between Plantago major L. taxa. -Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants. 206 (12): 1045-1051.
- El-Hady, E., Haiba, A. A., El-Hamid, N. R. A., Al-Ansary, A. & Mohamed, A. Y. 2010: Assessment of genetic variations in some Vigna species by RAPD and ISSR analysis. -New York Science Journal 3: 120-128.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. 2005: Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. -Molecular Ecology 14: 2611-2620.
- Ferreira, V., Matos, M., Correia, S., Martins, N., Gonçalves, S., Romano, A. & Pinto-Carnide, O. 2013: Genetic diversity of two endemic and endangered Plantago species. -Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 51: 37-44.
- Gáspár, B., Bossdorf, O. & Durka, W. 2019: Structure, stability and ecological significance of natural epigenetic variation: a large-scale survey in Plantago lanceolata. -New phytologist 221: 1585-1596.

- Hale, M. L. & Wolff, K. 2003: Polymorphic microsatellite loci in Plantago lanceolata. -Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 134-135.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. & Ryan, P. D. 2001: PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. -Palaeontologia Electronica. 4: 1-9.
- Hamrick, J. L. & Loveless, M. D. 1986: The influence of seed dispersal mechanisms on the genetic structure of plant populations. In: Estrada A., Fleming T.H. (eds) Frugivores and seed dispersal. Tasks for vegetation science, vol 15. Springer. -Dordrecht.
- Hassemer, G. 2016: Plantago hatschbachiana (Plantaginaceae), a critically-endangered new species from sandstone grasslands in Brazil, and an updated identification key to Plantago in Brazil and Paraguay. -Phytotaxa. 278: 141-152.
- Hassemer, G. 2017: Nomenclatural and taxonomic notes on Plantago myosuros (Plantaginaceae) and its synonymy. -Turkish Journal of Botany 41: 632-639.
- Hassemer, G., dos Santos Ribas, O. & Rønsted, N. 2015: First record of Plantago commersoniana (Plantaginaceae), a rare and threatened species, in the Central-West region of Brazil. -Check List 11: e1667.
- Hou, Y. & Lou, A. 2011: Population genetic diversity and structure of a naturally isolated plant species, Rhodiola dumulosa (Crassulaceae). -PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e24497. doi: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024497.
- Huson, D. H. & Bryant, D. 2006: Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. -*Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23: 254-267.
- Janighorban, M. 1995: Plantaginacea. In: Assadi, M., Maassoumi, A. A. & Khatamsaz, M. & Mozaffarian, V. (eds.), Flora of Iran, vol. 14. Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands. -Tehran.
- Kaplan, D. R. 2001: The science of plant morphology: definition, history, and role in modern biology. -American Journal of Botany 88: 1711-1741.
- Kaswan, V., Joshi, A. & Maloo, S. 2013: Assessment of genetic diversity in Isabgol (Plantago ovata Forsk.) using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers for developing crop improvement strategies. -African Journal of Biotechnology 12: 3622-3635.
- Kirk, H. & Freeland, J. R. 2011: Applications and implications of neutral versus non-neutral markers in molecular ecology. -International of Journal Molecular Sciences 12: 3966-3988.

- Koelling, V. A., Hamrick, J. & Mauricio, R. 2011: Genetic diversity and structure in two species of Leavenworthia with self-incompatible and selfcompatible populations. -Heredity 106: 310-318.
- Levin, D. & Wilson, A. 1976: Rates of evolution in seed plants: net increase in diversity of chromosome numbers and species numbers through time. -Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 73: 2086-2090.
- Li, S., Gan, X., Han, H., Zhang, X. & Tian, Z. 2018: Low within-population genetic diversity and high genetic differentiation among populations of the endangered plant Tetracentron sinense Oliver revealed by inter-simple sequence repeat analysis. -Annals of Forest Science. 75: 74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0752-4
- Lucardi, R., Wallace, L. & Ervin, G. 2020: Patterns of genetic diversity in highly invasive species: Cogongrass (Imperata cylindrica) expansion in the invaded range of the Southern United States (US). -Plants (Basel) 9(4): 423. https://doi.org/10.3390 /plants9040423.
- Mafakheri, M., Kordrostami, M., Rahimi, M. & Matthews, P. D. 2020: Evaluating genetic diversity and structure of a wild hop (Humulus lupulus L.) germplasm using morphological and molecular characteristics. -Euphytica. 216: 58. https://doi.org /10.1007/s10681-020-02592-z
- Marbuah, G., Gren, I.-M. & McKie, B. 2014: Economics of harmful invasive species: a review. -Diversity 6: 500-523.
- Rutledge, C. R. & McLendon, T. 1998: An Assessment of Exotic Plant Species of Rocky Mountain National Park. Department of Rangeland Ecosystem Science, Colorado State University. 97pp. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Home Page. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/othrdata/Expl ant/explant.htm [Version 15 Dec 98].
- Mozaffarian, V. 2003: Dictionary of Iranian plant names. A Dictionary of Iranian Plant Names. Farhang Moaser. -Tehran.
- Osawaru, M. Ogwu, M. & Aiwansoba, R. 2015: Hierarchical approaches to the analysis of genetic diversity in plants: a systematic overview. -The University of Mauritius Research Journal 21. https://www.ajol.info/index.php/umrj/article/view/ 122070
- Pampoulie, C., Danielsdottir, A. K., Storr-Paulsen, M., Hovgård, H., Hjörleifsson, E. & Steinarsson, B. Æ. 2011: Neutral and nonneutral genetic markers revealed the presence of inshore and offshore stock components of Atlantic cod in Greenland waters. -

Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 140: 307-319.

- Patzak, A. & Rechinger, K. H. 1965: Plantaginaceae. In: Rechinger, K. H. (Ed.), Flora Iranica, vol. 15. Akademische Druck- u. Verlagsanstalt. -Graz.
- Peakall, R. & Smouse, P. E. 2006: GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. -Molecular Ecology Notes 6: 288-295.
- Pickup, M., Brandvain, Y., Fraïsse, C., Yakimowski, S., Barton, N. H., Dixit, T., Lexer, C., Cereghetti, E. & Field, D. L. 2019: Mating system variation in hybrid zones: facilitation, barriers and asymmetries to gene flow. -The New phytologist 224: 1035-1047.
- Pijl, L. V. D. 1969: Xylocopa and flowers in the tropics. -Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen C 57: 413-423.
- Pimentel, D., Lach, L., Zuniga, R. & Morrison, D. 2000: Environmental and economic costs associated with non-indigenous species in the United States. -BioScience 50 (1): 53-65.
- Podani, J. 2000: Introduction to the exploration of multivariate biological data. Backhuys Publishers. Leiden.
- Primmer, C. R. 2009: From conservation genetics to conservation genomics. -Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1162: 357-368.
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. 2000: Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. -Genetics. 155: 945-959.
- Rahn, K. 1996: A phylogenetic study of the Plantaginaceae. -Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 120: 145-198.
- Rambuda, T. D. & Johnson, S. D. 2004: Breeding systems of invasive alien plants in South Africa: does Baker's rule apply? -Diversity Distribution 10: 409-416.
- Rønsted, N., Chase, M. W., Albach, D. C. & Bello, M. A. 2002: Phylogenetic relationships within Plantago (Plantaginaceae): evidence from nuclear ribosomal ITS and plastid trnL-F sequence data. -Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 139: 323-338.
- Rønsted, N., Franzyk, H., Mølgaard, P., Jaroszewski, J. W. & Jensen, S. R. 2003: Chemotaxonomy and evolution of Plantago L. -Plant Systematics and Evolution 242: 63-82.
- Rønsted, N. Göbel, E. Franzyk, H. Jensen, S. R. & Olsen, C. E. 2000: Chemotaxonomy of Plantago. Iridoid glucosides and caffeoyl phenylethanoid glycosides. -Phytochemistry 55: 337-348.

122 Genetic diversity of Plantago major in Iran

- Rowe, G., Sweet, M. & Beebee, T. 2017: An introduction to molecular ecology. Oxford University Press. -Oxford.
- Royer, F. & Dickinson, R. 1999: Weeds of the Northern US and Canada: a guide for identification. The university of Alberta press. -Edmonton.
- Saeed, S., Munir, F., Naveed, I., Raja, G. K. & Mahmood, T. 2011: Phylogenetics of selected Plantago species on the basis of rps14 chloroplast gene. -Journal of Medicinal Plant Research 5: 4888-4891.
- Samuelsen, A. B. 2000: The traditional uses, chemical constituents and biological activities of Plantago major L. A review. -Journal of Ethnopharmacology 71: 1-21.
- Shalabi, L. F. & Abou-El-Enain, M. M. 2013: Morphological variations and morphometry in the genus Plantago. -International Journal of Chemistry and Environmental Biological Science 1: 468-472.
- Sheidai, M., Seif, E., Nouroozi, M. & Noormohammadi, Z. 2012: Cytogenetic and molecular diversity of Cirsium arvense (Asteraceae) populations in Iran. -Journal of Japanese Botany 87: 193-205.
- Sheidai, M., Zanganeh, S., Haji-Ramezanali, R., Nouroozi, M., Noormohammadi, Z. & Ghsemzadeh-Baraki, S. 2013: Genetic diversity and population structure in four Cirsium (Asteraceae) species. -Biologia 68: 384-397.
- Stebbins, G. 1974: Flowering plants. Evolution above the species level. Belknap Press of Harvard University. -Cambridge.
- Stoeckel, S., Grange, J., Fernández-Manjarres, J. F., Bilger, I., Frascaria-Lacoste, N. & Mariette, S. 2006: Heterozygote excess in a self-incompatible and partially clonal forest tree species—Prunus avium L. -Journal of Molecular Ecology 15: 2109-2118.
- Tay, M. L. Meudt, H. M. Garnock-Jones, P. J. & Ritchie, P. A. 2010: DNA sequences from three genomes reveal multiple long-distance dispersals and non-monophyly of sections in Australasian Plantago (Plantaginaceae). -Australian Systematic Botany 23: 306-308.
- Turchetto, C. Segatto, A. Mäder, G. Rodrigues, D. M. Bonatto, S. L. & Freitas, L. B. 2016: High levels of genetic diversity and population structure in an endemic and rare species: implications for conservation. -AoB Plants 8: plw002. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plw002.
- Tutel, B., Kandemir, İ., Kuş, S. & Kence, A. 2005: Classification of Turkish Plantago L. species using numerical taxonomy. -Turkish Journal of Botany 29: 51-61.

- Vaghefi, S. S. M., Sheidai, M. & Assadi, M. 2016: Genetic diversity and population structure of Alyssum stapfii (Brassicaceae) in Iran. -Phytologia
- and Vegetation 22: 7-13. Van Dijk, H., Wolff, K., De Vries, A. & Genetics, A. 1988: Genetic variability in Plantago species in relation to their ecology. -Theoretical Applied

Balcanica: International Journal of Balkan Flora

Van Dijk, P. & Bakx-Schotman, T. 1997: Chloroplast DNA phylogeography and cytotype geography in autopolyploid Plantago media. -Molecular Ecology 6: 345-352.

Genetics 75: 518-528.

- Vilà, M., Espinar, J. L., Hejda, M., Hulme, P. E., Jarošík, V., Maron, J. L., Pergl, J. ,Schaffner, U., Sun, Y. & Pyšek, P. 2011: Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities and ecosystems. -Ecological Letters 14: 702-708.
- Wang, C., Zhang, H., Qian, Z.-Q. & Zhao, G.-F. 2008: Genetic differentiation in endangered Gynostemma pentaphyllum (Thunb.) Makino based on ISSR polymorphism and its implications for conservation. -Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 36: 699-705.
- Weising, K., Nybom, H., Pfenninger, M., Wolff, K. & Kahl, G. 2005: DNA fingerprinting in plants: principles, methods, and applications. CRC press. -Boca Raton.
- Weryszko-Chmielewska, E. Matysik-Wozniak, A. Sulborska, A. & Rejdak, R. 2012: Commercially important properties of plants of the genus Plantago. -Acta Agrobotanica. 65: 11-20.
- Wiens, J. 2004: The role of morphological data in phylogeny reconstruction. -Systematic Biology 53: 653-661.
- Wolfe, L. M. & Burns, J. L. 2001: A rare continual flowering strategy and its influence on offspring quality in a gynodioecious plant. -American Journal of Botany 88: 1419-1423.
- Wolff, K., Friso, B. &Van Damme, J. M. M. 1988: Outcrossing rates and male sterility in natural populations of Plantago coronopus. -Theoretical Applied Genetics 76: 190-196.
- Zubair, M., Nybom, H., Ahnlund, M. & Rumpunen, K. 2012: Detection of genetic and phytochemical differences between and within populations of Plantago major L. (Plantain). -Scientia Horticulturae 136: 9-16.